

# Integrated Pest Management Plan Template Varroa destructor Mite

Integrated Pest Management (IPM) is a sustainable form of pest management that works to suppress pathogens below economic thresholds, reducing overall colony damage<sup>1</sup>. A successful IPM strategy consists of disease prevention, pathogen identification, frequent monitoring, and the use of economic thresholds to guide treatment decisions. In combination, all of these components can be used to create a step-by-step plan that will help beekeepers act quickly on bee health issues, keeping bees healthy and reducing the impacts of new risks as they emerge. A useful tool that should be frequently referred to when making IPM decisions is the IPM pyramid (Figure 1). The pyramid outlines the recommended sustainable steps that can be taken leading up to chemical treatment, while accounting for factors such as colony stress, labour, and management costs. By adopting the basic principles of IPM, beekeepers can develop and frequently refer to a standard decision-making process that aids in preventing pest issues and, if applicable, determining appropriate treatment plans. This decision-making process helps raise awareness and streamline focus on colony health within an operation. An effective IPM plan can decrease treatment costs, increase treatment efficacy, and improve the safety of pest management practices.

The Tech Transfer Program (TTP) in conjunction with the Bee Health Assurance Team (BHAT) has developed a general Varroa IPM plan to be used as a guide for beekeepers developing their own IPM plan. One plan does not fit all and, therefore, it is important that beekeepers use this as a template for a personalized Varroa IPM plan, making modifications where necessary to meet their specific operational needs. This guide has been based on seasonal changes within Alberta and may not be applicable in warmer climates.




Figure 1. IPM Pyramid.

### **IPM Pro Tips:**

It is strongly recommended that beekeepers always monitor before and after every treatment, especially between organic treatments.

Colony labels improve disease records and help easily identify problematic hives and apiaries. It is recommended that every colony gets its own unique ID tag. It is recommended that every operation has at least one person designated to carrying out the IPM activities. This allows for a strong focus on disease monitoring. Move suspected or sick colonies to a hospital yard. This reduces disease transfer to healthy colonies and makes it more convenient to monitor and treat sick colonies in one location.

Frequently check treatment efficacy **DURING** the treatment period. In colder weather use sticky boards to avoid opening the hive; in warmer weather use the mite shaker method.

When using sticky boards, the number of mites dropping should start high and get lower throughout the treatment period. If there is no mite drop then a different treatment method may be required.

What	When		Action				
Spring Varroa ampling	BEFORE Treatment & AFTER Treatment	<ul> <li>Sampling Parameters Per Operation:</li> <li>Sample <u>ALL apiaries</u> wi can vary between locati Per Apiary:</li> <li>Number of colonies to b size and should be samp</li> <li>Apiary Size (# of colonies)</li> <li># of colonies to be sampled at random</li> <li>Per Colony:</li> <li>A single sample of 300 b cup of live bees<sup>3</sup>.</li> <li>Use General Management</li> </ul>	$\frac{1}{4-9}$	itored is l per the ta 10-19 5 ich is app	20-31 6 proximat	apiary v. 32+ 8	Monitoring Varroa Sampling Factsheet Evaluating Varroa Decision Intervening Varroa Treatment

		SUMMER – M	ay to	Augu	st								
What	When	When How											
Monthly Monitoring/	May & June	<ul> <li>Sampling Parameters</li> <li>Per Operation:</li> <li>Sample <u>ALL apiaries</u> with vary between locations<sup>3</sup>.</li> <li>Per Apiary:</li> <li>Number of colonies to be and should be sampled as</li> </ul>	monito	red is bas	sed on ap		Evaluating and Intervening Honey Bee Diseases and Pests						
Inspections	JUNE	Apiary Size (# of colonies)	4-9	10-19	20-31	32+							
Collecting varroa samples and visual inspection for honey bee diseases	& July &	# of colonies to be sampled at random       3       5       6       8         Per Colony:       A single sample of 300 bees which, is approximately ½ cup of       Image: Colony is a province of the sample of the s											
August       live bees <sup>3</sup> .       Inspect for other honey bee diseases on 3 brood frames per brood box <sup>4</sup> .       Varroa Dec         Use General Management & Disease Inspection Data Sheets       Varva Dec													



What	When		Action		
Fall Varroa	BEFORE Treatment & AFTER Treatment	<ul> <li>Sampling Parameters Per Operation: <ul> <li>Sample <u>ALL apiaries</u> we can vary between location</li> <li>Per Apiary:</li> <li>Number of colonies to size and should be sam</li> </ul> Apiary Size (# of colonies)</li></ul>	Monitoring Varroa Sampling 10 Factsheet		
Sampling	& REPEAT	# of colonies to be sampled at random Per Colony:			
	with every Treatment Cycle	<ul> <li>A single sample of 300 cup of live bees<sup>3</sup>.</li> <li>Use General Managemer</li> </ul>	Intervening Varroa Treatments 1		

## **Additional Educational Resources**



Tech Transfer Program Website



Government of Alberta Honey Bee Health and Apiculture



The Ultimate Key to Honey Bee Viruses

Supporting Literature :

<sup>1</sup>Jack, C. J., & Ellis, J. D. (2021). Integrated pest management control of Varroa destructor (Acari: Varroidae), the most damaging pest of (Apis mellifera L.(Hymenoptera: Apidae)) colonies. *Journal of Insect Science*, 21(5), 6. https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/ieab058

<sup>2</sup>Currie, R. (2008). *Economic Threshold for Varroa on the Canadian Prairies.* University of Manitoba, Dept. of Entomology, Winnipeg Manitoba. https://capabees.com/shared/2013/02/varroathreshold.pdf

<sup>3</sup>Lee, K. V., Moon, R. D., Burkness, E. C., Hutchison, W. D., & Spivak, M. (2010). Practical sampling plans for Varroa destructor (Acari: Varroidae) in Apis mellifera (Hymenoptera: Apidae) colonies and apiaries. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 103(4), 1039-1050. https://doi.org/10.1603/EC10037

<sup>4</sup>Goodwin, M., & Eaton, C. V. (1999). *Elimination of American foulbrood without the use of drugs: a practical manual for beekeepers*. National Beekeepers' Association of New Zealand, Inc.



#### **General Management Inspection Data Sheet**

	Date:	Season:		Report fill	ed by:		Apiary Name:		
Colony ID	<b>Colony Strength</b> (Weak, 0-4 frames; Medium, 5-7 frames; Strong, 8 -10 frames)	Queen Status (Eggs, Queen seen, Queenless, Drone layer)	<b>Type</b> (Single, Double, Nuc)	Brood (Y/N)	Honey (Y/N)	Pollen (Y/N)	Diseases (Y/N) *If yes then the Disease Inspection Data Sheet should be filled out	Additional Comments	

Y = Present N = Not present

Double/Single brood chambered colony strength in Spring					5 framed Nucleus co	olony strength	in Spring		
Frames of Bees							Frames of Bees		
Amount of Brood	< 4 frames	5-7 frames	> 8 frames		Amount of Brood	≤2 frames	3-4 frames	5 frames	
no brood	weak	weak/medium	medium		no brood	weak	medium	medium	
1-2 frames	weak	medium	medium/strong			weak	medium/strong		
3-4 frames	weak	medium/strong	strong		strong	1 frame		medium/strong	strong
> 5 frames	medium	strong	strong		2-3 frames	weak	strong	strong	

\*If there are diseases present then the Disease Inspection Data Sheet should also be filled out for the apiary

#### Other data points of interest that could be added:

- Queen age
- Date that splits were made
- When/what feeding

- Date supered
- When eggs were first seen (measure of queen quality)



#### **Disease Inspection Data Sheet**

	Date: Season:									
	Yard:		Treatment:							
Colony ID	Colony Strength (Weak, 0-4 frames; Medium, 5-7 frames; Strong, 8 -10 frames)	<b>EFB</b> (L/H/Sus)	AFB (L/H/Sus)	<b>SBV</b> (L/H/Sus)	<b>CB</b> (L/H/Sus)	<b>PMS</b> (L/H/Sus)	<b>DWV</b> (L/H/Sus)	Brood Pattern (Spotty, Solid)	Number of mites in shaker or on sticky trap	Additional Comments

#### Diseases:

EFB = European Foulbrood AFB = American Foulbrood CB = Chalkbrood SBV = Sacbrood Virus PMS = Parasitic Mite Syndrome

DFW = Deformed Wing Virus

#### **Disease Level:**

L = Low (1-2 frames, less than 10 cells)

H = High (more than 2 frames, keeping in mind colony strength, or 50% of frames infected)

Sus = Suspected (lab confirmation needed)

